

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8

9  
10 DANIEL S. WILLIAMS, ET AL.,  
11 Plaintiff(s),  
12 v.  
13 PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
14 COMPANY, ET AL.,  
15 Defendant(s).

Case No. EDCV 16-678-DMG (KK)

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS  
AND RECOMMENDATION OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE**

16  
17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Third Amended  
18 Complaint, the relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the  
19 United States Magistrate Judge.


20 On June 28, 2018, Plaintiffs filed Objections to the Report and  
21 Recommendation attaching various unauthenticated exhibits. Dkt. 188. On July 9,  
22 2018, Plaintiffs filed an Addendum to his Objections. Dkt. 193. On July 23, 2018,  
23 Defendant filed a Response to Plaintiffs' Objections. Dkt. 195.

24 The Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the Report to  
25 which Plaintiffs have objected. The Court finds the exhibits attached to the  
26 Objections do not support Plaintiffs' Opposition to summary judgment because  
27 they are (a) either untimely or duplicative of Plaintiffs' submissions in support of  
28 thier Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, (b) inadmissible

1 lay opinion, and (c) fail to establish causation even if considered on the merits.  
2 Therefore, the Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate  
3 Judge.

4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that (1) Defendant's Motion for Summary  
5 Judgment is GRANTED; and (2) Judgment shall be entered DISMISSING this  
6 action with prejudice.

7  
8 Dated: September 27, 2018

  
HONORABLE DOLLY M. GEE  
United States District Judge